Lecture 1: Introduction and Mathematical Preliminaries

Adam Hal Spencer

The University of Nottingham

Advanced Monetary Economics 2018

Roadmap

- Introduction
- 2 Static Optimisation
- 3 Dynamic Discrete Time Optimisation
- 4 Stochastic Models
- 5 Log-Linearisation
- 6 Summary

Instructor

- Adam Spencer
 - No need for formalities: call me either Adam or Spencer.
- Assistant Professor of Economics (started here this September).
- Ph.D. Economics and Finance, M.S. Economics.
 - University of Wisconsin-Madison (USA).
- M.Econ. (Hons), B.Comm. (Hons) Economics.
 - The University of Melbourne (Australia).

Course Overview

Objectives:

- (1) Equip you with the tools required to do research in monetary economics.
- (2) Apply the rigour of these tools to studying policy and other topical issues.
- (3) Continue to develop your economic intuition.
- (4) Bridge the gap between earlier intuition you've developed in earlier courses and modelling techniques.

Summary

- The material covered in this course will be tough!
- You'll get exposure to lots of new things: may seem intimidating.
- Look through all the math to see the intuition of models and solutions.
- This is not a math course!

Note

- These mathematical methods are just recipes that I want you to know how to use.
- Again, this is not a math course: these are just tools for doing economics.

Roadmap

- Introduction
- 2 Static Optimisation
- 3 Dynamic Discrete Time Optimisation
- 4 Stochastic Models
- 5 Log-Linearisation
- 6 Summary

Constrained Optimisation

- "Economics is the study of how society manages its scarce resources" (Mankiw, 2007, *Principles of Economics*).
- Constrained optimisation!

Static Program

A static optimisation program will have the following general form

$$\max_{\vec{x}} f(\vec{x}, p) \text{ s.t. } g(\vec{x}, p) = \gamma$$

This will have the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = f(\vec{x}, p) + \lambda [\gamma - g(\vec{x}, p)]$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ is called the Lagrange multiplier.

- Interior solution found by taking $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_i}$ for all $x_i \in \vec{x}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda}$ and equating the derivatives with zero (first order conditions).
- We'll focus just on interior solutions, (corner solutions require the use of Kuhn-Tucker conditions).

Static Optimisation Example

• Solve the following consumption-leisure tradeoff problem:

$$\max_{c,n} \ \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \chi n$$

subject to c = wn where w is taken as given.

Static Optimisation Example Solution (1)

Lagrangian given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \chi n + \lambda [wn - c]$$

First order conditions (FOCs) given by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c} = 0 \Rightarrow c^{-\sigma} - \lambda = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial n} = 0 \Rightarrow -\chi + \lambda w = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow wn - c = 0$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow wn - c = 0 \tag{3}$$

Static Optimisation Example Solution (2)

• Equations (1) and (2) imply

$$c^{-\sigma} = \frac{\chi}{w} \Rightarrow c = \left(\frac{\chi}{w}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}$$
 (4)

• Plug (4) into (3) to get the solution for n as

$$n = \left(\frac{\chi}{w}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}/w$$

Roadmap

- Introduction
- 2 Static Optimisation
- Optimisation
 3 Dynamic Discrete Time Optimisation
- 4 Stochastic Models
- 5 Log-Linearisation
- Summary

Consider a problem of the form

$$\max_{\vec{x}_t} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} f(\vec{x}_t, p, t) \text{ s.t. } g(\vec{x}_t, p, t) = \gamma_t \ \forall t \geq 0$$

Spencer (Nottingham)

Has the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} f(\vec{x}_t, p, t) + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t [\gamma_t - g(\vec{x}_t, p, t)]$$

where $\lambda_t > 0$ are the Lagrange multipliers.

Discrete Time Optimisation Example

Solve the following program

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[\frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \chi n_t \right]$$

for $\beta \in [0,1]$ subject to the constraint

$$p_t c_t + q_t b_t = b_{t-1} + w_t n_t$$

where b_t are discount bonds, $(q_t < 1)$ and the price sequences $\{w_t, p_t, q_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ are taken as given.

• Notice that the dynamics have an effect through savings, b_t .

Discrete Time Optimisation Example Solution (1)

Lagrangian given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left[\frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \chi n_t \right] + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t [b_{t-1} + w_t n_t - p_t c_t - q_t b_t]$$

which comes with first order conditions

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t} = 0 \Rightarrow \beta^t c_t^{-\sigma} - \lambda_t p_t = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial n_t} = 0 \Rightarrow -\beta^t \chi + \lambda_t w_t = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_t} = 0 \Rightarrow -q_t \lambda_t + \lambda_{t+1} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_t} = 0 \Rightarrow p_t c_t + q_t b_t = b_{t-1} + w_t n_t, \tag{8}$$

Discrete Time Optimisation Example Solution (2)

- Recall that the price sequences are all taken as given (exogenous).
- Using (5) and (6) yields

$$c_t^{-\sigma} = \frac{\chi}{w_t/\rho_t} \Rightarrow c_t = \left(\frac{w_t}{\rho_t} \frac{1}{\chi}\right)^{\sigma} \tag{9}$$

• FOC (5) tells us that

$$\lambda_t = \frac{\beta^t c_t^{-\sigma}}{p_t} \tag{10}$$

Discrete Time Optimisation Example Solution (3)

• Combining (7) and (10) yields

$$\beta \left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\sigma} \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} = q_t \tag{11}$$

which is referred to as a consumption Euler equation.

• Equations (11), (9) and (8) together summarise the solution to the program.

Discrete Time Optimisation Example Solution (4)

• Solution to the program is given by a sequence $\{c_t, n_t, b_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ that satisfies

$$\beta \left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\sigma} \frac{p_t}{p_{t+1}} = q_t$$

$$c_t = \left(\frac{w_t}{p_t} \frac{1}{\chi}\right)^{\sigma}$$

$$p_t c_t + q_t b_t = b_{t-1} + w_t n_t$$

together with initial condition b_{-1} and "no ponzi game" restriction

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[\prod_{j=0}^t q_j \right] b_t = 0$$

which says that the NPV of the "terminal" asset holdings are zero.

Roadmap

- Introduction
- Static Optimisation
- 4 Stochastic Models
- 6 Log-Linearisation
- 6 Summary

Shocks

- The examples we've looked at so far were all deterministic.
- What happens when we add random shocks to the model?
- Control variables will be a function of realised state of the world.

Randomness and States of Nature

- In this course, we'll assume that there is an information set that evolves over time denoted by \mathcal{I}_t .
- In the future, there is some set of possible outcomes $\omega_i \in \Omega$.
- All the agents in the model know the set Ω for the future, they just don't know what ω_i will come up.
- Take expectations over the states and form state-contingent plans for control variables.
- $\mathbb{E}_t[x]$ is shorthand for $\mathbb{E}[x|\mathcal{I}_t]$

Two Period Stochastic Model Example

- Consider an optimal savings problem for a consumer over two periods $t \in \{0,1\}$.
- The consumer receives endowment of income y_t in period t where $y_t = \bar{y} + \epsilon_t$ where $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t] = 0$.
- Consumer maximises NPV of expected lifetime utility where period utility function is $\frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$.
- Assume that price of consumption in each period is unity and bond price is fixed at q_0 .
- Variables will all be functions of the state realised at decision time $\omega_t \in \Omega$.

Two Period Stochastic Model Example

• The consumer is faced with the problem:

$$\max_{c_0(\omega_0),c_1(\omega_1),b_0(\omega_0)} \ \mathbb{E}_0\left[\frac{c_0((\omega_0))^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \frac{c_1((\omega_1))^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}\right]$$

subject to

$$c_0(\omega_0) + q_0 b_0(\omega_0) = y_0(\omega_0)$$

 $c_1(\omega_1) = b_0(\omega_0) + y_1(\omega_1)$

Two Period Stochastic Model Example Solution

Objective given by,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\frac{(y_0(\omega_0) - q_0 b_0(\omega_0))^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \beta \frac{(b_0(\omega_0) + y_1(\omega_1))^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \right]$$

which is a function of only one control b_0 from substituting out c_0 and c_1 .

Optimality condition given by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{db_0} = 0 \Rightarrow q_0 c_0(\omega_0)^{-\sigma} = \beta \mathbb{E}_0[c_1^{-\sigma}(\omega_1)]$$

which is a stochastic consumption Euler equation.

• See that the optimal decision depends on the state realised at t=0 and what's expected at t=1.

Two Period Stochastic Model Example Solution

- Can we solve $q_0c_0(\omega_0)^{-\sigma}=\beta\mathbb{E}_0[c_1^{-\sigma}(\omega_1)]$ for $b_0(\omega_0)$ in closed form?
- No! Either use numerical methods or local approximations.
- As is canonical in monetary economics, we'll use lots of local approximations through the log-linearisation technique.
- Note: from now on, I'll drop the state scripts to ease notation (i.e. y_0 rather than $y_0(\omega_0)$).

Roadmap

- Introduction
- 2 Static Optimisation
- 4 Stochastic Models
- 5 Log-Linearisation
- Summary

Steady State

- We reach a steady state when nothing is changing.
- In the previous example, this is given by $\epsilon_t = 0$ for each period.
- That is: $y_0 = y_1 = \bar{y}$.
- Other variables will be unchanging as well $c_0 = c_1 = \bar{c}$.

Steady State Example

In the steady state of the two-period model, see

$$q_0 \bar{c}^{-\sigma} = \beta \bar{c}^{-\sigma} \Rightarrow q_0 = \beta \tag{12}$$

$$\bar{c} = \bar{y} - q_0 b_0 \tag{13}$$

$$\bar{c} = \bar{y} + \bar{b_0}. \tag{14}$$

- For (12) (14) to all hold, we need for no savings (i.e. $b_0 = 0$) between periods.
- Follows that $\bar{c} = \bar{y}$: consumption each period just equals the deterministic endowment.

Log-Linearisation

- Approximates non-linear solutions around the steady state.
- Define the log deviation of a variable (x_t) from its steady state as

$$\hat{x}_t = \log\left(\frac{x_t}{\bar{x}}\right)$$

Log-Linearisation

• We can interpret \hat{x}_t as a percentage deviation of the variable from its steady state as:

$$\begin{split} \hat{x}_t &= \log\left(\frac{x_t}{\bar{x}}\right) \\ &= \log\left(1 + \frac{x_t - \bar{x}}{\bar{x}}\right) \\ &= \log(1) + \frac{1}{\bar{x}}(x_t - \bar{x}) + \text{higher order terms} \\ &\approx \log(1) + \frac{1}{\bar{x}}(x_t - \bar{x}) \\ &= \frac{x_t - \bar{x}}{\bar{x}} \end{split}$$

where the penultimate line follows if the deviation from \bar{x} is small, (first order Taylor expansion is valid).

Log-Linearisation Example

• Linearise the consumption Euler equation from the two period model:

$$q_0c_0^{-\sigma}=\beta\mathbb{E}_0[c_1^{-\sigma}]$$

Log-Linearisation Example Solution

From our definition of the deviations, see that

$$\hat{c}_0 = \log\left(\frac{c_0}{\bar{c}}\right) \Rightarrow c_0 = \bar{c}e^{\hat{c}_0}.$$

We can plug this into the Euler equation to get

$$egin{aligned} q_0(ar{c}e^{\hat{c}_0})^{-\sigma} &= eta \mathbb{E}_0[(ar{c}e^{\hat{c}_0})^{-\sigma}] \ \Rightarrow q_0ar{c}^{-\sigma}e^{-\sigma\hat{c}_0} &= eta ar{c}^{-\sigma}\mathbb{E}_0[e^{-\sigma\hat{c}_1}] \ &\Rightarrow e^{-\sigma\hat{c}_0} &= \mathbb{E}_0[e^{-\sigma\hat{c}_1}] \ \Rightarrow (1-\sigma\hat{c}_0) &= \mathbb{E}_0[(1-\sigma\hat{c}_1)] \ &\Rightarrow \hat{c}_0 &= \mathbb{E}_0[\hat{c}_1] \end{aligned}$$

where the third line comes from steady state equation (12) and the fourth line comes from a first order Taylor expansion of exp(1+x).

Roadmap

- Introduction
- 2 Static Optimisation
- 3 Dynamic Discrete Time Optimisation
- 4 Stochastic Models
- 5 Log-Linearisation
- **6** Summary

Topics Covered

- These mathematical techniques are just tools.
- If you understand how to implement all these methods today, you'll be good for the math in this first half of the course.