# Lecture 12: Theory of Asset Pricing III Equilibrium Asset Pricing and Consumption-CAPM

Adam Hal Spencer

The University of Nottingham

Advanced Financial Economics 2020

# Roadmap

- Introduction
- 2 Model Environment
- Model Equilibrium
- 4 Consumption CAPN
- Conclusion

### Motivation

- Let's recap what we've talked about so far.
  - Given prices and potential payoffs, how would a risk averse consumer decide between consumption and a risky asset?
  - How should a risk averse investor allocate their savings amongst several assets? How should investors form portfolios?
  - When do we have an asset price bubble?
- Notice that these are all partial equilibrium questions.
- I.e. given prices, how should investors act?
- How do these prices get determined in equilibrium?

### Motivation

- Consumption-based capital asset pricing model (CCAPM).
- What determines the returns on assets in equilibrium.
- All investors are optimising and markets are clearing.

### Motivation

• The key for pinning-down the equilibrium prices is market clearing.

# Roadmap

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model Environment
- Model Equilibrium
- 4 Consumption CAPM
- Conclusion

### Setup

- We return now to our consumption-based asset pricing model.
- We take the problem of the household and close it out with market clearing conditions to price the assets.
- Based on Lucas (1978).

### Setup

- Infinite time horizon in discrete time  $t \in \{0, 1, 2, ..\}$ .
- Household can hold a riskless bond (denote holdings by  $b_{t+1}$ ) that offers a return of  $r_t^F \geq 1$ .
- Can also hold a risky asset (denote holdings by  $a_{t+1}$ ) that offers a dividend stream that's stochastic of  $\{d_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ .
- Also choose how much to consume.
- Denote the price sequence for the risky asset as  $\{p_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ .
- The household derives its income from the dividend stream.

# Roadmap

1 Introduction

- 2 Model Environment
- Model Equilibrium
- 4 Consumption CAPM
- Conclusion

## Household problem

• Their problem is given by

$$\max_{\{c_t,b_{t+1},a_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \ \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

subject to

$$c_t + b_{t+1} + p_t a_{t+1} = a_t (p_t + d_t) + r_t^F b_t$$

with  $b_0$  and  $a_0$  taken as given.

### Household solution

Lagrangian given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t \left[ a_t(p_t + d_t) + r_t^F b_t - c_t - b_{t+1} - p_t a_{t+1} \right]$$

with first order conditions

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t} = 0 \Rightarrow \beta^t c_t^{-\sigma} - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b_{t+1}} = 0 \Rightarrow -\lambda_t + \mathbb{E}_t[r_t^F \lambda_{t+1}] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a_{t+1}} = 0 \Rightarrow -\lambda_t \rho_t + \mathbb{E}_t[(\rho_{t+1} + d_{t+1})\lambda_{t+1}] = 0$$

### Household solution

• Gives the Euler equations

$$egin{aligned} 1 &= eta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \left( rac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} 
ight)^{-\sigma} r_t^{ extit{ iny F}} 
ight] \ 
ho_t &= eta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \left( rac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} 
ight)^{-\sigma} \left[ 
ho_{t+1} + d_{t+1} 
ight] 
ight] \end{aligned}$$

This is nothing new so far.

# Market clearing

- Here is the new part.
- Market equilibrium is defined as a sequence of allocations  $\{c_t, a_{t+1}, b_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and prices  $\{p_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that households optimise and all markets clear.
- Market clearing given by
  - (1) Goods market:  $c_t = d_t$ .
  - (2) Risky asset market:  $a_{t+1} = 1$ .
  - (3) Riskless bond market:  $b_{t+1} = 0$ .

## Market clearing

- How do we interpret these market clearing conditions?
- Goods: the dividends paid-out by the risky asset are consumption goods.
- There is no production, labour or endowments of any other kind: everything up for potential consumption comes through these dividends.
- Risky asset: it is in unit net supply.
- Means that you can interpret the asset holdings  $a_{t+1}$  as holdings of shares in the risky asset.

## Market clearing

- Riskless asset: it is in zero net supply.
- You can interpret these as inter-household loans.
- Since there is no government.
- But there is a representative household!
- So prices are adjusting such that the household finds it optimal to not hold any bonds, hold the whole risky asset and consume the whole dividend in equilibrium.

# What about heterogeneity?

- What if we relaxed the assumption of a representative household?
- Say we have two households: A and B.
- Denote their optimal choices by  $\{c_t^i, b_{t+1}^i, a_{t+1}^i\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  for  $i \in \{A, B\}$ .
- How would the market clearing conditions change?

# What about heterogeneity?

- Goods:  $c_t^A + c_t^B = d_t$ .
- Asset:  $a_t^A + a_t^B = 1$ .
- Riskless bond:  $b_{t+1}^A + b_{t+1}^B = 0$ .
- Says now that the borrowings through riskless bonds by one household are equal to the savings of the other household through these bonds.
- Note that  $d_t$  is the total dividend coming from the riskless asset.
- The risky asset holdings sum to one: the two households have part shares in the asset.

# Solving for the prices

- Consumption is the numeraire good here (price is normalised to unity).
- In principle, we can solve for the riskless return and asset prices through plugging the optimal solutions for the household into the market clearing conditions and solving.
- We've priced our assets!

# Roadmap

- Introduction
- 2 Model Environment
- Model Equilibrium
- Consumption CAPM
- Conclusion

- What can we say about the relationship between asset returns and consumption smoothing of the household?
- Recall: the household seeks to use these assets to smooth their consumption.
- Sell assets when times are bad and buy assets when times are good.

 Recall that we can write our Euler equation for the risky asset in terms of returns as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & p_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \left[ p_{t+1} + d_{t+1} \right] \right] \\ & \Rightarrow 1 = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{\left[ p_{t+1} + d_{t+1} \right]}{p_t} \right] \\ & \Rightarrow 1 = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{-\sigma} r_{t+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

### Stats

- Remember back to your statistics classes...
- $\mathbb{E}[xy] = \mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y] + Cov(x, y)$  for any two random variables x and y.
- The stochastic discount factor  $\beta\left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\sigma}$  here and  $r_{t+1}$  are both random variables!

Hence we can write the right-side of the Euler equation as

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} r_{t+1} \right] \\ 1 &= \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t+1} \right] \mathbb{E}_{t} [r_{t+1}] + \textit{Cov}(\mathcal{M}_{t+1}, r_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

where I've re-written the SDF as  $\mathcal{M}_{t+1}$  for ease of notation.

Recall from the consumption asset pricing lecture that

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\mathcal{M}_{t+1}] = \frac{1}{r_{t+1}^F}$$

i.e. the expected SDF equals the reciprocal of the riskless rate.

Hence

$$1 = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \frac{r_{t+1}}{r_{t+1}^{F}} \right] + Cov(\mathcal{M}_{t+1}, r_{t+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{t}[r_{t+1}] = r_{t+1}^{F} \{ 1 - Cov(\mathcal{M}_{t+1}, r_{t+1}) \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{t}[r_{t+1}] - r_{t+1}^{F} = -r_{t+1}^{F} Cov(\mathcal{M}_{t+1}, r_{t+1})$$

where the left-side is excess return of the risky asset over the riskless one.

• Can we go any further here?

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[r_{t+1}] - r_{t+1}^{F} = -r_{t+1}^{F} Cov(\mathcal{M}_{t+1}, r_{t+1})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_{t}[\mathcal{M}_{t+1}]} Cov(\mathcal{M}_{t+1}, r_{t+1})$$

where recall that

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[\mathcal{M}_{t+1}] = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_{t}} \right)^{-\sigma} \right]$$
$$= \left( \frac{1}{c_{t}} \right)^{-\sigma} \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[ \beta \left( c_{t+1} \right)^{-\sigma} \right]$$

given that  $c_t$  is known at time t.

See then that

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[r_{t+1}] - r_{t+1}^{F} = -\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_{t}[\mathcal{M}_{t+1}]} Cov(\mathcal{M}_{t+1}, r_{t+1})$$

$$= -\left(\frac{1}{c_{t}}\right)^{\sigma} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\beta\left(c_{t+1}\right)^{-\sigma}\right]}$$

$$Cov\left(\left(\frac{1}{c_{t}}\right)^{-\sigma}\left[\beta\left(c_{t+1}\right)^{-\sigma}\right], r_{t+1}\right)$$

ullet The eta and  $c_t$  terms from the expectation and covariance cancel to give

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}[r_{t+1}] - r_{t+1}^{F} = -\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\left(c_{t+1}\right)^{-\sigma}\right]} Cov(\left(c_{t+1}\right)^{-\sigma}, r_{t+1})$$

which says that the excess return on a risky asset depends on its co-movements with consumption.

- The expression says that if the covariance between  $r_{t+1}$  and the marginal utility of consumption is positive, then the excess return is negative.
- Recall that a decrease in consumption is what gives an increase in the marginal utility of consumption.
- An asset that pays off when consumption is low has a negative excess return.
- Returns that move against consumption are a hedge against consumption risk: investors are willing to accept a lower expected return.

# Roadmap

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Model Environment
- Model Equilibrium
- 4 Consumption CAPM
- Conclusion

### Summary

- Equilibrium asset pricing involves adding market clearing conditions to the basic asset pricing model.
- We can then obtain prices from a set of equations.
- Basic manipulations of the Euler equation gives the consumption CAPM: the prediction that covariance with consumption is what determines an asset's expected return.